

#### REMARKS

This Supplemental Amendment is filed in response to the Telephone Interview conducted on July 17, 2006.

#### Summary Of Telephone Interview

On July 17, 2006, Jeanette Harms (35,537) and Examiner Jean Janvier participated in a telephone interview. Claim 26 (amended in the Amendment In Response To The Second Office Action dated April 12, 2006) and a new Claim 40 proposed by Examiner Janvier (provided in the Second Office Action dated January 17, 2006) were discussed.

No resolution was reached with respect to Claim 26. However, Applicants agreed to add a limitation regarding a watermark to Claim 26 to clarify Applicants' invention. This limitation was previously recited in Claim 29, which is correspondingly amended herein to recite another feature of the invention.

Applicants also agreed to add the proposed new Claim 40 to the application with some modifications. Specifically, Applicants have directed the focus of the claim to that of the CAD tool user when using the CAD tool.

Please note that Claims 33-39 also have a substantially same focus. In contrast, Claims 26-32 have a focus on the CAD tool provider. Applicants believe the amended/new claims provided previously and herein clarify Applicants' invention with respect to both the CAD tool user and the CAD tool provider. Applicants look forward to the Examiner's response to these amendments.

Applicants greatly appreciate the Examiner's comments and suggestions provided during the Telephone Interview. The Examiner indicated (and Applicants appreciate) that an

additional prior art search will be conducted after receipt of this Supplemental Amendment.

If there are any questions, please telephone the undersigned at 408-451-5907 to expedite prosecution of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Jeanette S. Harms', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Customer No.: 35273

Jeanette S. Harms  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 35,537